

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

ERAN SITNIK

Serial No. 09/460,944

Filed: DECEMBER 14, 1999

IN-HOUSE TV TO TV CHANNEL PEEKING

Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Atty. Docket

PHA 23,898

Group Art Unit: 2711

Examiner: K. BUI



AMENDMENT

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated July 6, 2000, please consider the remarks that follow:

REMARKS

This amendment is responsive to the Office Action that issued July 6, 2000. In light of the following remarks, reconsideration and removal of the grounds for rejection are respectfully requested.

Claims 1-18 are pending in this Patent Application. Claims 1, 7, and 12 are independent claims.

In the Office Action, the abstract is objected to for not being in the proper form. It is not clear what aspect of the abstract is objected to. In italics, it states that the abstract should

#6
W. Lawler
11/25/00

RECEIVED
NOV 20 2000
Technology Center 2600